

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,942	06/27/2001	Andrew W. Shyjan	07334-004009	5570	
7:	590 07/03/2002				
ANITA L. MEIKLEJOHN, PH.D. Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street			EXAMINER		
			WILDER, CYNTHIA B		
Boston, MA 0	12110-280 4		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
·		09/892,942		SHYJAN, ANDREW W.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	•	Cynthia B Wi	lder	1637				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the co	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 🖂								
2a)☐	,	is action is no			., .			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖾	4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
• —	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requir	rement.					
	on Papers The appeification is objected to by the Evamine	r						
•—	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		piected to by the Evar	miner				
10)								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
<i>,</i>	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			·				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT tion .				

Application/Control Number: 09/892,942

Art Unit: 1437

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid and vector, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - II. Claim 15, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
 - III. Claim 16, drawn to a method of diagnosing tumor progression, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - IV. Claims 17-28, drawn to a method of treating tumor progression, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are unrelated products. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different function and different modes of operation leading to different effects.

 For example, the isolated nucleic acid of Invention I is composed of nucleotides and is capable of functions in methods of amplification and hybridization for the objective of detecting the expression of a gene whereas the antibody of Invention II is composed of amino acids and is capable of function in methods of blotting such as Western blotting for the objective of

Application/Control Number: 09/892,942

Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

determining the expression of a targeted protein. The different inventions are patentably distinct and requires different fields of search..

- 3. Inventions III and IV are unrelated methods. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation leading to different effects. For example the method of invention III utilizes a hybridization procedure for the objective of diagnosing tumor progression in a test sample whereas the method of IV utilizes steps of administering a compound or drug to a subject for the objective of treating or inhibiting tumor progression. The different method are patentably distinct requiring different starting materials and different method steps.
- 4. Inventions I and III, IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the isolated nucleic acid of invention I can be used in a materially different process such as in methods of nucleic acid cloning or in nucleic acid purification procedures or in methods of site-directed mutagenesis.
- 5. Inventions II and III, IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Art Unit: 1637

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the antibody of Invention II can be used in a materially different process such as in radioimmunoassays or in ELISA assays.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any one Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to on to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cynthia Wilder whose telephone number is (703) 305-1680. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,942

Page 5

Art Unit: 1637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached at (703) 308-1119. The official fax phone number for the Group is (703) 308-4242. The unofficial fax number is (703) 308-8724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group's Patent Analyst, Monica Graves at (703) 305-3002 or Group's receptionist at (703) 308-0196.

Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D.

June 28, 2002

GARY BENZION, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

JPERVISORY OF CENTER 1600